APPENDIX A:
Minority Shares of Voters Supporting the Prevailing Party in Actual and Simulated State House Districts

## AL: 105 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## AR: 100 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## AZ: 30 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## CA: 80 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## DE: 41 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



FL: 120 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


## FL: 120 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



GA: 180 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


## IL: 118 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)

## IL: 118 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## LA: 105 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## MD: 47 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



MS: 122 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


NC: 120 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


NM: 70 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


## NV: 42 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



NY: 150 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


NY: 150 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


SC: 124 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


TN: 99 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)


## TX: 150 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



## TX: 150 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)

(

## VA: 100 districts (Race-Blind Simulations)



APPENDIX B:

Minority Opportunity Districts in Actual and Simulated State House Plans

## AL: Race-Blind Simulations



## AR: Race-Blind Simulations



## AZ: Race-Blind Simulations



## CA: Race-Blind Simulations



## DE: Race-Blind Simulations



FL: Race-Blind Simulations


FL: Race-Blind Simulations


## GA: Race-Blind Simulations



## IL: Race-Blind Simulations



## IL: Race-Blind Simulations



## LA: Race-Blind Simulations



## MD: Race-Blind Simulations



## MS: Race-Blind Simulations



## NC: Race-Blind Simulations



## NM: Race-Blind Simulations



## NV: Race-Blind Simulations



## Enacted <br> Plan



## NY: Race-Blind Simulations



## NY: Race-Blind Simulations



## SC: Race-Blind Simulations



## TN: Race-Blind Simulations



## TX: Race-Blind Simulations



## TX: Race-Blind Simulations



## VA: Race-Blind Simulations



APPENDIX C:

Summary Table of Simulation Results

| State | Current Black <br> Opportunity <br> Districts | Current <br> Hispanic <br> Opportunity <br> Districts | Black <br> Opportunity <br> Districts in <br> Median Race- <br> Blind | Hispanic <br> Opportunity <br> Districts in <br> Median Race- <br> Blind | Current <br> Republican <br> Districts <br> Simulation | Republican <br> Districts in <br> Median Race- <br> Conscious <br> Simulation | Republican <br> Districts in <br> Siman Race- <br> Blind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | $27(25.7 \%)$ |  | $23(21.9 \%)$ |  | $78(74.3 \%)$ | $78(74.3 \%)$ | $82(78.1 \%)$ |
| Arizona |  | $1(3.3 \%)$ |  | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $18(60.0 \%)$ | $20(66.7 \%)$ | $20(66.7 \%)$ |
| Arkansas | $13(13.0 \%)$ |  | $12(12.0 \%)$ |  | $83(83.0 \%)$ | $83(83.0 \%)$ | $84(84.0 \%)$ |
| California |  | $15(18.8 \%)$ |  | $8(10.0 \%)$ | $22(27.5 \%)$ | $21(26.3 \%)$ | $22(27.5 \%)$ |
| Delaware | $4(9.8 \%)$ |  | $3(7.3 \%)$ |  | $12(29.3 \%)$ | $12(29.3 \%)$ | $12(29.3 \%)$ |
| Florida | $16(13.3 \%)$ | $7(5.8 \%)$ | $13(10.8 \%)$ | $10(8.3 \%)$ | $67(55.8 \%)$ | $64(53.3 \%)$ | $64(53.3 \%)$ |
| Georgia | $52(28.9 \%)$ |  | $45(25.0 \%)$ |  | $118(65.6 \%)$ | $111(61.7 \%)$ | $117(65.0 \%)$ |
| Illinois | $21(17.8 \%)$ | $5(4.2 \%)$ | $19(16.1 \%)$ | $3(2.5 \%)$ | $42(35.6 \%)$ | $41(34.7 \%)$ | $41(34.7 \%)$ |
| Louisiana | $30(28.6 \%)$ |  | $25(23.8 \%)$ |  | $74(70.5 \%)$ | $75(71.4 \%)$ | $79(75.2 \%)$ |
| Maryland | $15(31.9 \%)$ |  | $15(31.9 \%)$ |  | $15(31.9 \%)$ | $17(36.2 \%)$ | $16(34.0 \%)$ |
| Mississippi | $42(34.4 \%)$ |  | $40(32.8 \%)$ |  | $79(64.8 \%)$ | $80(65.6 \%)$ | $82(67.2 \%)$ |
| Nevada |  | $0(0.0 \%)$ |  | $1(2.4 \%)$ | $14(33.3 \%)$ | $13(31.0 \%)$ | $13(31.0 \%)$ |
| New Mexico |  | $16(22.9 \%)$ |  | $14(20.0 \%)$ | $26(37.1 \%)$ | $25(35.7 \%)$ | $25(35.7 \%)$ |
| New York | $34(22.7 \%)$ | $3(2.0 \%)$ | $30(20.0 \%)$ | $2(1.3 \%)$ | $33(22.0 \%)$ | $28(18.7 \%)$ | $28(18.7 \%)$ |
| North Carolina | $26(21.7 \%)$ |  | $27(22.5 \%)$ |  | $81(67.5 \%)$ | $71(59.2 \%)$ | $73(60.8 \%)$ |
| South Carolina | $39(31.5 \%)$ |  | $35(28.2 \%)$ |  | $85(68.5 \%)$ | $83(66.9 \%)$ | $85(68.5 \%)$ |
| Tennessee | $14(14.1 \%)$ |  | $13(13.1 \%)$ |  | $79(79.8 \%)$ | $78(78.8 \%)$ | $79(79.8 \%)$ |
| Texas | $18(12.0 \%)$ | $28(18.7 \%)$ | $18(12.0 \%)$ | $23(15.3 \%)$ | $97(64.7 \%)$ | $95(63.3 \%)$ | $99(66.0 \%)$ |
| Virginia | $13(13.0 \%)$ |  | $16.5(16.5 \%)$ |  | $53(53.0 \%)$ | $49(49.0 \%)$ | $48(48.0 \%)$ |

